

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/672,232	09/25/2003	Mark J. Chimel	5677-216	8713
26345 7	26345 7590 08/14/2006		EXAMINER	
GIBBONS, DEL DEO, DOLAN, GRIFFINGER & VECCHIONE I RIVERFRONT PLAZA	PADEN, CAROLYN A			
NEWARK, N		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
-			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	-	
	ひ	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comment	10/672,232	CHIMEL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carolyn A. Paden	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au	iaust 2005.						
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
··· _							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	·						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a process for conserving an anti-oxidant, classified in class 426, subclass 541.
- II. Claims 6-19, drawn to an additive for food, a binder syrup and a method of making a binder syrup, classified in class 426, subclass 658.
- III. Claims 11-19, drawn to a process for preparing a dry ready to eat food and a granola bar, classified in class 426, subclass 660.
- IV. Claims 20-26, drawn to a process for preparing chocolate,classified in class 426, subclass 631.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-IV are directed to related to processes and products that contain cocoa solids. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, Group I is directed to a process for conserving and antioxidant, not mentioned in any of the other groups. Group II is related to a binder syrup and ingredients to make a binder syrup that are not mentioned in Groups III-IV. Group III is directed to the preparation of a dry food and a granola bar that utilizes different components than any of the other groups, such as like flour, grain and cereal. Group IV is directed to a product and process for making chocolate.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even

Art Unit: 1761

though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,232

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398 or by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAROLYN PADEN 1761
PRIMARY EXAMINER 8-11-0

Page 5